SAMPLE CURRICULUM MAP # 5: A Hypothetical B.S. in Computer Science Program

LEGEND	SEMESTER:				SELEC	TED <u>Pr</u>	ogram S	Student	Learnin	g Outco	o <u>mes</u> 1	The B.S.	in Com	nputer S	cience F	Program	n Gradua	ates Wil	l Be Ab	le To:			
[I] OUTCOME STATEMENT:		FALL 2006	1. The basic elements of computer theory.			2. Computer organization and operating systems.			3. Data communication and networks.			 Programming design methods. 			 The basic elements of the analysis of algorithms. 			6. Developing Software					ES
The program outcome is (X) EXPLICITLY (score of 2) or (M) IMPLICITLY (score of 1) reflected in the course syllabus as	UNIT RESPONSIBLE:	DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE																0.0000	ORES	RES SCORI	S SCORI		
being a learning outcome for this course. <u>[II] LEVEL OF</u> <u>INSTRUCTION:</u>	DEGREE: B.S. IN COMPUTER																				BREADTH SC	DEPTH SCO	SSMENT FOCU
(1) <u>INTRODUCED</u> - Students are not expected to be familiar with the content or skill at the collegiate level. Instruction and learning activities focus on basic knowledge, skills, and/or competencies and entry-level	CORE CURRIO A " <i>TYPICAL</i> SCIEN	CULUM COURSES FOR ." B.S. IN COMPUTER NCE STUDENT	[i] Outcome Statement (X, M)	(ii] Level (i, E, R, A)	[iii] Feedback (F) / Assessment	[i] Outcome Statement (X, M)	[ii] Level (I, E, R, A)	[iii] Feedback (F) / Assessment	[i] Outcome Statement (X, M)	(ii] Level (I, E, R, A)	[iii] Feedback (F) / Assessment	[i] Outcome Statement (X, M)	(i, E, R, A) (i, E, R, A)	[iii] Feedback (F) / Assessment	[i] Outcome Statement (X, M)	(ii] Level (I, E, R, A)	[iii] Feedback (F) / Assessment	[i] Outcome Statement (X, M)	[ii] Level (I, E, R, A)	[iii] Feedback (F) / Assessment	COURSE	COURSI	<u>COURSE</u> ASSE
complexity. Only one (or a few) aspect(s) of a complex program outcome is addressed in the given	<i>CSC 101:</i> INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER SCIENCE					Μ						X	Ι	F	X	Ι	F	X	Ι	F	4	3	3
 course (score of 1). (E) <u>EMPHASIZED</u> - Students are expected to possess a basic level of knowledge and familiarity with the content or skills at the collegiate level. Instruction and learning activities concentrate on enhancing and strengthening knowledge, skills, and expanding complexity. 	CSC 170: COMPUTER PROGRAMMING I					М						X	Ι	F	X	Ι	F	X	Ι	F	4	3	3
	CSC 260: COMPUTER PROGRAMMING II					М						X	E	F	X	E	F	X	Ε	F	4	6	3
	CSC 269: COMPUTER ORGANIZATION AND ASSEMBLY LANGUAGE PROGRAMMING					X	Ι	F	М						X	Е	F	X	A	F	4	7	3
addressed in the given course, but these aspects are treated separately (score of 2).	CSC 270: DISCRI	ETE STRUCTURES	X	E	F	Μ									X	E	F	X	E	F	4	6	3
(<i>R</i>) <u><i>REINFORCED</i></u> - Students are expected to possess a strong	CSC 295: JAVA APPLICATION PROGRAMMING					М						X	Ι	F	Μ	Ι	F	X	Ε	F	4	4	3
roundation in the knowledge, skill, or competency at the collegiate level. Instructional and learning activities continue to build upon	CSC 361: SURVEY OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES		X	E	F							М			М	R	F	X	Α	F	4	9	3
previous competencies with increased complexity. All components of the outcome are	CSC 372: DATA STRUCTURES		М	E	F	М						X	E	F	X	Ε	F	X	Α	F	5	10	4
addressed in the integrative contexts (score of 3).	CSC 380: SOFTW	ARE ENGINEERING	X	A	F							X	E	F	X	Α	F	X	Ε	F	4	12	4
(A) <u>A</u> DVANCED - Students are expected to possess an advanced level of knowledge, skill, or	CSC 422: DATA	BASE IMPLEMENTATION										X	Α	F	X	R	F	X	Α	F	3	11	3
competency at the collegiate level. Instructional and learning activities focus on the use of the content or skills in multiple contexts and at multiple levels of complexity (score of 4). IIII] FEEDBACK ON STUDENT PERFORMANCE / ASSESSMENT:	CSC 430: DATA COMMUNICATION		X	A	F	X	E	F	X	Ι	F	X	Α	F							4	11	4
	CSC 464: OPERATING SYSTEMS								X	A	F	X	A	F	X	A	F	X	Α	F	4	16	4
	CSC 468: COMPU	UTER ARCHITECTURE	X	Α	F	X	E	F	X	R	F	X	Α	F							4	13	4
	CSC 498: SENIO	R SEMINAR I																X	Α	F	1	4	1
(<i>F</i>) Students are asked to demonstrate their learning on the outcome through homework,	CSC 499: SENIO	R SEMINAR II																X	Α	F	1	4	1
projects, tests, etc., and are provided formal <u>F</u> eedback (<i>score</i> of 1).	OUTCOME SCOR SATURATION A	<u>ES (</u> i) COMMUNICATION, (ii) AND (iii) FEEDBACK POINTS	11	<i>18</i>	6	12	5	3	7	8	3	21	25	10	20	25	11	26	<u>38</u>	13			

2010 SACS-COC Annual Meeting // December 5, 2010 // Louisville, KY W 16 -- Curriculum Mapping: A Methodology to Define, Document, Demonstrate, and Improve the Coherence of Program Curricula // Nuria M. Cuevas (ncuevas@nsu.edu), Alexei G. Matveev (agmatveev@nsu.edu), & Enrique G. Zapatero (egzapatero@nsu.edu) // Norfolk State University